# Math 255B Lecture 9 Notes

### Daniel Raban

January 27, 2020

## 1 Adjoints of Unbounded Operators

#### 1.1 Adjoints

Last time, we showed that if  $P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha}$  on  $L^{2}(\Omega)$  where  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$  is open and  $a_{\alpha} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , then we get a **minimal realization**:  $P_{\min}$  with  $D(P_{\min}) = \{u \in L^{2} : \exists \varphi_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) : \varphi_{n} \to u, P\varphi_{n} \text{ conv.} \}$  given by  $P_{\min}u = \lim_{n \to \infty} P\varphi_{n}$ . We also defined the **maximal realization**  $P_{\max}$  with  $D(P_{\max}) = \{u \in L^{2} : Pu \in L^{2}\}$ , where Pu is taken in the sense of distributions. Here, we have  $P_{\min} \subseteq P_{\max}$ , where both of these are closed operators.

Recall the definition of an adjoint: In a Hilbert space H, if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, H)$ , the **adjoint**  $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(H, H)$  is defined by  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . For unbounded operators, we will define this, paying attention to the domains.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $T: D(T) \to H$  be densely defined. We define the **adjoint**  $T^*$  by

$$D(T^*) = \{ v \in H : \exists f \in H \text{ s.t. } \langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, f \rangle \ \forall u \in D(T) \},$$
$$T^*v = f.$$

**Remark 1.1.** The requirement that T is densely defined is crucial to this definition. D(T) is dense, so f is unique if it exists. In particular,  $\langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, T^*v \rangle$  for all  $u \in D(T)$  and  $v \in D(T^*)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** By the Riesz representation theorem,

$$D(T^*) = \{ v \in H : \exists C = C_v > 0 \text{ s.t. } | \langle Tu, v \rangle | \le C ||u||, u \in D(T) \}.$$

#### **1.2** Examples: adjoints of differential operators

**Example 1.1.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open,  $P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha}$  with  $a_{\alpha} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , where  $D = \frac{1}{i} \partial$ . Let  $P_{\Omega} = P$  with  $D(P_{\Omega}) = C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Let's compute  $P_{\Omega}^*$ .

First, associated to P is the **formal adjoint**  $P^*$  defined by  $\langle Pu, v \rangle_{L^2} = \langle u, P^*v \rangle_{L^2}$  for all  $u, v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  (such an operator exists for any differential operator). We can calculate the formula using integration by parts:

$$P^*v = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} D_x^{\alpha}(\overline{a_{\alpha}(x)v}).$$

So  $P^*$  is a differential operator of order m with  $C^{\infty}$  coefficients.

To compute the adjoint  $P^*_{\Omega}$ , we have

$$D(P_{\Omega}^*) = \{ v \in L^2 : \exists f \in L^2 \text{ s.t. } \langle Pu, v \rangle_{L^2} = \langle u, f \rangle \ \forall u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) \}$$
$$= \{ v \in L^2 : P^*v = f \in L^2 \},$$

where  $P^*v$  is taken in the sense of distributions. In other words,  $D(P_{\Omega}^*) = \{v \in L^2 : P^*v \in L^2\} = D(P_{\max}^*)$ , the maximal realization of the formal adjoint, and  $P_{\Omega}^*v = P^*v$ .

Sometimes, we can give a nice local description of the domain of the adjoint.

**Example 1.2.** Assume that  $P = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha}$  is **elliptic** in the sense that if  $p(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_{\alpha}(x)\xi^{\alpha}$  for  $x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $p(x,\xi) \ne 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega, \xi \ne 0$ . Then we have

$$\{v \in L^2 : P^*v \in L^2\} \subseteq H^m_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) : \partial^\alpha u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \,\forall |\alpha| \le m\},\$$

a local Sobolev space.

#### 1.3 The graph of the adjoint

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $T: D(T) \to H$  be densely defined. The graph of the adjoint is

$$G(T^*) = [V(\overline{G(T)})]^{\perp},$$

where  $V : H \times H \to H \times H$  sends  $(u, v) \mapsto (v, -u)$ .

**Remark 1.3.** Taking the closure is a matter of taste. Since we are taking the orthogonal complement, it does not matter whether or not we close the graph or not, since the result will be closed.

*Proof.* When  $u \in D(T)$  and  $(v, w^*) \in H \times H$ , we have

$$\langle V(u,Tu), (v,w^*) \rangle_{H \times H} = \langle Tu, v \rangle - \langle u, w^* \rangle.$$

The right hand side is 0 for all  $u \in D(T)$  if and only if  $v \in D(T^*), T^*v = w^*$ . This is equivalent to  $(v, w^*) \in G(T^*)$ . The left hand side is 0 for all  $u \in D(T)$  iff  $(v, w^*) \in [V(G(T))]$ . So  $G(T^*) = [V(G(T))]^{\perp} = [V(\overline{G(T)})]^{\perp}$ .

Corollary 1.1.  $T^*$  is closed.

**Remark 1.4.** If densely defined operators  $T_1 \subseteq T_2$  in the sense that  $G(T_1) \subseteq G(T_2)$ , then  $T_2^* \subseteq T_1^*.$ 

Is  $T^*$  densely defined?

**Proposition 1.2.** T is closable if and only if  $D(T^*)$  is dense. In this case,  $(T^*)^* = \overline{T}$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ): Assume there is a nonzero  $w \in H$  such that  $w \perp D(T^*)$ . Then for every  $v \in D(T^*),$ 

$$\langle (0,w), (T^*v, -v) \rangle_{H \times H} = 0,$$

so  $(0,w) \in [V(G(T^*))]^{\perp} = V(G(T^*)^{\perp})$ . Recall that  $G(T^*) = [V(\overline{G(T)})]^{\perp}$ , so  $(0,w) \in V(V(\overline{G(T)}))$ .  $V^2 = -1$ , so  $(0,w) \in G(T)$ . So w = 0, as T is closable. 

 $(\Leftarrow)$ : The proof is a similar computation.